arXiv:0807.3712v1 [math.CV] 23 Jul 2008

FAVARD, BAXTER, GERONIMUS, RAKHMANOV, SZEGÖ AND THE STRONG SZEGÖ THEOREMS FOR ORTHOGONAL TRIGONOMETRIC POLYNOMIALS

ZHIHUA DU

Abstract. In this paper, we obtain some analogs of Favard, Baxter, Geronimus, Rakhmanov, Szegö and the strong Szegö theorems appeared in the theory of orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle (OPUC) for orthogonal trigonometric polynomials (OTP). The key tool is the mutual representation theorem for OPUC and OTP.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In [1], to investigate Riemann-Hilbert analysis, the author and his collaborator established a mutual representation theorem for OPUC and OTP which relates the isolated two classes of orthogonal polynomials and gave four-term recurrence, Christoffel-Darboux formula and some properties of zeros for OTP as its applications. In fact, by the mutual representation theorem and the theory of OPUC, we can get more analogous results appeared in the theory of OPUC for OTP such as Favard, Baxter, Geronimus, Rakhmanov, Szegö and the strong Szegö theorems, which is the theme of the present paper. To do so, we need to introduce some notations as in [1].

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the unit disc in the complex plane, $\partial\mathbb{D}$ be the unit circle and μ be a nontrivial probability measure on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ (i.e., with infinity support, nonnegative and $\mu(\partial\mathbb{D}) = 1$). Throughout, by decomposition, we always write

$$d\mu(\tau) = w(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{2\pi i\tau} + d\mu_s(\tau), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\tau \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, $w(\tau) = 2\pi i\tau d\mu_{ac}/d\tau$ and $d\mu_s$ is the singular part of $d\mu$.

Introduce two inner products, one is complex as follows

$$\langle f, g\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \overline{f(\tau)}g(\tau)d\mu(\tau) \tag{1.2}$$

with norm $||f||_{\mathbb{C}} = [\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} |f(\tau)|^2 d\mu(\tau)]^{1/2}$, where f, g are complex integrable functions on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. The other is

$$\langle f, g\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} f(\tau)g(\tau)d\mu(\tau), \tag{1.3}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42A05, 42C05.

Key words and phrases. Orthogonal trigonometric polynomials, orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, mutual representation.

This work was initiated in Institute of Mathematics, Free University Berlin when the author visited and studied there from April, 2007 to April, 2008 by the support of State Scholarship Fund of China. The author appreciates Prof. Dr. Heinrich Begehr for his supervising and help and Prof. Dr. Hua Liu for his discussion.

with norm $||f||_{\mathbb{R}} = [\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} |f(\tau)|^2 d\mu(\tau)]^{1/2}$, where f, g are real integrable functions on $\partial\mathbb{D}$.

By the complex inner product (1.2), applying Gram-Schmidt procedure to the following system

$$\{1, z, z^2, \ldots, z^n, \ldots\},$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we get the unique system $\{\Phi_n(z)\}$ of monic orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle with respect to μ satisfying

$$\langle \Phi_n, \Phi_m \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \kappa_n^{-2} \delta_{nm} \ \text{ with } \ \kappa_n > 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Then the orthonormal polynomials $\varphi_n(z)$ on the unit circle satisfy

$$\langle \varphi_n, \varphi_m \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \delta_{nm} \ \text{ and } \ \varphi_n(z) = \kappa_n \Phi_n(z). \tag{1.5}$$

For any polynomial Q_n of n order, its reversed polynomial Q_n^* is defined by

$$Q_n^*(z) = z^n \overline{Q_n(1/\overline{z})}. \tag{1.6}$$

One famous property of OPUC is Szegö recurrence [6], i.e.,

$$\Phi_{n+1}(z) = z\Phi_n(z) - \overline{\alpha}_n \Phi_n^*(z), \tag{1.7}$$

where $\alpha_n = -\overline{\Phi_{n+1}(0)}$ are called Verblunsky coefficients. It is well known that $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{D}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. By convention, $\alpha_{-1} = -1$ (see [4]). Szegö recurrence (1.7) is extremely useful in the theory of OPUC. Especially, Verblunsky coefficients play an important role in many problems for OPUC.

Using the real inner product (1.3) and Gram-Schmidt procedure to the following over $\mathbb{R}$ linearly independent ordered set

$$\Big\{1, \frac{z - z^{-1}}{2i}, \frac{z + z^{-1}}{2}, \ldots, \frac{z^n - z^{-n}}{2i}, \frac{z^n + z^{-n}}{2}, \ldots\Big\}, \tag{1.8}$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, we get the unique system

$$\{1, b_1\pi_1(z), a_1\sigma_1(z), \ldots, b_n\pi_n(z), a_n\sigma_n(z), \ldots\} \tag{1.9}$$

of the first “monic” orthogonal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle with respect to μ fulfilling (see [1])

$$\langle \pi_m, \sigma_n \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = 0, \langle \pi_m, \pi_n \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle \sigma_m, \sigma_n \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \delta_{mn}, \ \ m, n = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{1.10}$$

and

$$a_n\sigma_n(z) = \frac{z^n + z^{-n}}{2} - \beta_n b_n \pi_n(z) - \imath_n a_{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}(z) - \jmath_n b_{n-1}\pi_{n-1}(z) + \text{lower order} \tag{1.11}$$

as well as

$$b_n\pi_n(z) = \frac{z^n - z^{-n}}{2i} - \varsigma_n a_{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}(z) - \zeta_n b_{n-1}\pi_{n-1}(z) + \text{lower order}, \tag{1.12}$$

where $a_n, b_n > 0$, which are respectively the norms of the “monic” orthonormal Laurent polynomials given by right hand sides of (1.11) and (1.12),

$$\beta_n = \langle \frac{z^n + z^{-n}}{2}, b_n^{-1}\pi_n \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}, \tag{1.13}$$

$$\imath_n = \langle \frac{z^n + z^{-n}}{2}, a_{n-1}^{-1}\sigma_{n-1} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}, \ \jmath_n = \langle \frac{z^n + z^{-n}}{2}, b_{n-1}^{-1}\pi_{n-1} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \tag{1.14}$$

and

$$\varsigma_n = \langle \frac{z^n - z^{-n}}{2i}, a_{n-1}^{-1}\sigma_{n-1} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}, \ \zeta_n = \langle \frac{z^n - z^{-n}}{2i}, b_{n-1}^{-1}\pi_{n-1} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}. \tag{1.15}$$

Throughout, as a convention, take $\sigma_0 = 1$, $\pi_0 = 0$ and $\beta_0 = 0$ as well as $a_0 = b_0 = 1$.

In deed, identifying the unit circle with the interval $[0, 2\pi)$ via the map $\theta \to e^{i\theta}$, we get the first orthonormal trigonometric polynomials $\pi_n(\theta)$ and $\sigma_n(\theta)$ for the linearly ordered trigonometric system

$$\{1, \sin\theta, \cos\theta, \ldots, \sin n\theta, \cos n\theta, \ldots\} \tag{1.16}$$

by the above process when $z = e^{i\theta}$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

With above preliminaries, the relations of OTP and OPUC is stated by the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Mutual Representation [1]). *Let μ be a nontrivial probability measure on the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| = 1\}$, $\{1, \pi_n, \sigma_n\}$ be the unique system of the first orthonormal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle with respect to μ, and $\{\Phi_n\}$ be the unique system of the monic orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle with respect to μ. Then for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\Phi_{2n-1}(z) = z^{n-1}[a_n\sigma_n(z) + (\beta_n + i)b_n\pi_n(z)] \tag{1.17}$$

and

$$\kappa_{2n}^2\Phi_{2n}^*(z) = \frac{1}{2}z^n[a_n^{-1}(1 + \beta_n i)\sigma_n(z) - ib_n^{-1}\pi_n(z)], \tag{1.18}$$

where κ_{2n} is the leading coefficient of the orthonormal polynomial of order $2n$ on the unit circle with respect to μ and $\kappa_{2n} = \|\Phi_{2n}\|_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}$, and a_n, b_n, β_n are given by (1.11)-(1.13).

Set $\Lambda_n = -\frac{1}{2}[a_n^{-2}(1 + \beta_n^2) + b_n^{-2}]i$, from (1.17) and (1.78), we obtain

Theorem 1.2.

$$a_n\sigma_n(z) = -\frac{1}{2}z^{-n}[\Lambda_n^{-1}b_n^{-2}iz\Phi_{2n-1}(z) - (1 - \beta_n i)\Phi_{2n}^*(z)] \tag{1.19}$$

and

$$b_n\pi_n(z) = -\frac{1}{2}z^{-n}[\Lambda_n^{-1}a_n^{-2}(1 + \beta_n i)z\Phi_{2n-1}(z) - i\Phi_{2n}^*(z)] \tag{1.20}$$

hold for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

As some consequences, we have (see [1])

Theorem 1.3.

$$\kappa_{2n}^2 = \frac{1}{4}[a_n^{-2}(1 + \beta_n^2) + b_n^{-2}] \tag{1.21}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Theorem 1.4.

$$\alpha_{2n-1} = \frac{1}{4}\kappa_{2n}^{-2}[b_n^{-2} - a_n^{-2}(1 - \beta_n^2)] - \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{2n}^{-2}a_n^{-2}\beta_n i \tag{1.22}$$

and

$$\alpha_{2n-2} = \frac{1}{2}(\imath_n - \zeta_n + \beta_{n-1}\varsigma_n) - \frac{1}{2}(\jmath_n + \varsigma_n - \beta_{n-1}\imath_n)i \tag{1.23}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (1.22) is referred to [1]. (1.23) follows from (1.11), (1.12), (1.17) and the fact $\alpha_{2n-2} = -\overline{\Phi_{2n-1}(0)}$. □

Since $\kappa_n^2/\kappa_{n+1}^2 = 1 - |\alpha_n|^2$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, by Theorem 1.3 and 1.4, we get

Theorem 1.5.

$$\kappa_{2n-1}^2 = [a_n^2 + b_n^2(1+\beta_n^2)]^{-1} \tag{1.24}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore, by (1.21) and (1.24), we obtain

Theorem 1.6.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n b_n = \frac{1}{2}\exp\Big(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \log w(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{\tau}\Big) \tag{1.25}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} [a_n^2 + b_n^2(1+\beta_n^2)] = \exp\Big(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \log w(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{\tau}\Big). \tag{1.26}$$

Proof. Note that [6, 4]

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \kappa_n^{-2} = \exp\Big(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \log w(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{\tau}\Big), \tag{1.27}$$

then (1.26) follows from (1.24) while (1.25) follows from

$$\kappa_{2n-1}^2\kappa_{2n}^2 = \frac{1}{4}a_n^{-2}b_n^{-2} \tag{1.28}$$

by (1.21) and (1.24). □

In addition, we also have

Theorem 1.7.

$$\begin{aligned}&[a_n^{-2}(1+\beta_n^2) + b_n^{-2}][a_{n+1}^2 + b_{n+1}^2(1+\beta_{n+1}^2)]\\ &+ (\imath_{n+1} - \zeta_{n+1} + \beta_n\varsigma_{n+1})^2 + (\jmath_{n+1} + \varsigma_{n+1} - \beta_n\imath_{n+1})^2 = 4\end{aligned} \tag{1.29}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$.

Proof. It immediately follows from (1.21), (1.23) and (1.24) since $\kappa_{2n}^2/\kappa_{2n+1}^2 = 1 - |\alpha_{2n}|^2$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. □

2. Analogous Theorems for Orthogonal Trigonometric Polynomials

In present section, some analogous theorems appeared in the theory of OPUC for orthogonal trigonometric polynomials are discussed such as Favard, Baxter, Geronimus, Rakhmanov theorems and so on [4, 5].

2.1. **Favard Theorem.** We begin with an OTP version of Favard Theorem. Favard theorem for orthogonal polynomials on the real line is about the orthogonality of a system of polynomials which satisfies a three-term recurrence with appropriate coefficients [2, 3]. Its version of OPUC is called Verblunsky theorem and well known [4], that is, if $\{\alpha_n^{(0)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of complex numbers in $\mathbb{D}$, then there exists a unique measure $d\mu$ such that $\alpha_n(d\mu) = \alpha_n^{(0)}$, where $\alpha_n(d\mu)$ are the associated Verblunsky coefficients of $d\mu$.

For orthogonal trigonometric polynomials, by Verlunsky theorem, Theorem 1.3, 1.4 and 1.7, we have

Theorem 2.1 (Favard theorem for OTP). *Let $\{(a_n^{(0)}, b_n^{(0)}, \beta_n^{(0)})\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with $a_0^{(0)}, b_0^{(0)} = 1$ and $\beta_0^{(0)} = 0$ be a system of three-tuples of real numbers satisfying*

$$[(a_n^{(0)})^2 + (b_n^{(0)})^2(1 + (\beta_n^{(0)})^2)][(a_{n+1}^{(0)})^2 + (b_{n+1}^{(0)})^2(1 + (\beta_{n+1}^{(0)})^2)] < 4(a_n^{(0)})^2(b_n^{(0)})^2 \tag{2.1}$$

with $a_n^{(0)}, b_n^{(0)} > 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, then there exists a nontrivial probability measure $d\mu$ on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ such that $a_n(d\mu) = a_n^{(0)}$, $b_n(d\mu) = b_n^{(0)}$ and $\beta_n(d\mu) = \beta_n^{(0)}$, where $a_n(d\mu), b_n(d\mu), \beta_n(d\mu)$ are associated coefficients of $d\mu$ defined by (1.11)-(1.13).

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, define

$$\kappa_{2n}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}\Big[(a_n^{(0)})^{-2}\big(1 + (\beta_n^{(0)})^2\big) + (b_n^{(0)})^{-2}\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\kappa_{2n+1}^{(0)} = \Big[(a_{n+1}^{(0)})^2 + (b_{n+1}^{(0)})^2\big(1 + (\beta_{n+1}^{(0)})^2\big)\Big]^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.3}$$

Let

$$\alpha_{2n-1}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{4}(\kappa_{2n}^{(0)})^{-2}\Big[(b_n^{(0)})^{-2} - (a_n^{(0)})^{-2}\big(1 - (\beta_n^{(0)})^2\big)\Big] - \frac{1}{2}(\kappa_{2n}^{(0)})^{-2}(a_n^{(0)})^{-2}(\beta_n^{(0)})i, \tag{2.4}$$

then $\alpha_{2n-1}^{(0)} \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ since

$$\left|\alpha_{2n-1}^{(0)}\right|^2 = \frac{(\kappa_{2n}^{(0)})^4 - \frac{1}{4}(a_n^{(0)})^{-2}(b_n^{(0)})^{-2}}{(\kappa_{2n}^{(0)})^4} \tag{2.5}$$

and $a_n^{(0)}, b_n^{(0)} > 0$. Note that (2.1) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\kappa_{2n}^{(0)}}{\kappa_{2n+1}^{(0)}} < 1. \tag{2.6}$$

Arbitrarily choose a sequence $\{\alpha_{2n}^{(0)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\left|\alpha_{2n}^{(0)}\right| = \sqrt{1 - (\kappa_{2n}^{(0)})^2/(\kappa_{2n+1}^{(0)})^2} \tag{2.7}$$

and fix it, then $\alpha_{2n}^{(0)} \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ by (2.6).

Therefore, for the fixed sequence $\{\alpha_n^{(0)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, by Verblunsky theorem, there exists a unique nontrivial probability measure $d\mu$ on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$\alpha_n(d\mu) = \alpha_n^{(0)} \tag{2.8}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,

$$\kappa_n(d\mu) = \kappa_n^{(0)} \tag{2.9}$$

since $\kappa_n(d\mu) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1}(1 - |\alpha_j(d\mu)|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ (see [4]).

Suppose that $\{\Phi_n(d\mu, z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle with respect to $d\mu$, set

$$\Sigma_n(z) = -\frac{1}{2}z^{-n}[(\Lambda_n^{(0)})^{-1}(b_n^{(0)})^{-2}iz\Phi_{2n-1}(d\mu, z) - (1 - \beta_n^{(0)}i)\Phi_{2n}^*(d\mu, z)] \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\Pi_n(z) = -\frac{1}{2}z^{-n}[(\Lambda_n^{(0)})^{-1}(a_n^{(0)})^{-2}(1 + \beta_n^{(0)}i)z\Phi_{2n-1}(d\mu, z) - i\Phi_{2n}^*(d\mu, z)] \tag{2.11}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, where $\Lambda_n^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{2}\Big[(a_n^{(0)})^{-2}\big(1 + (\beta_n^{(0)})^2\big) + (b_n^{(0)})^{-2}\Big]i$. Obviously,

$$\Lambda_n^{(0)} = -2(\kappa_{2n}^{(0)})^2 i. \tag{2.12}$$

By Szegö recurrence and (2.8),

$$z\Phi_{2n-1}(d\mu, z) = \Phi_{2n}(d\mu, z) + \overline{\alpha_{2n-1}^{(0)}}\Phi_{2n-1}^*(d\mu, z). \tag{2.13}$$

Hence by the orthogonality of $\Phi_n(d\mu, z)$ and $\Phi_n^*(d\mu, z)$ (see [4]), we get

$$\langle z^{\pm j}, \Sigma_n\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle z^{\pm j}, \Pi_n\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1. \tag{2.14}$$

Moreover,

$$\langle z^n, \Sigma_n\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = (a_n^{(0)})^2\overline{\alpha_{2n-1}^{(0)}} + \frac{1}{2}(\kappa_{2n}^{(0)})^{-2}(1 - \beta_n^{(0)} i), \tag{2.15}$$

$$\langle z^{-n}, \Sigma_n\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = (a_n^{(0)})^2, \tag{2.16}$$

$$\langle z^n, \Pi_n\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = (b_n^{(0)})^2(\beta_n^{(0)} - i)\overline{\alpha_{2n-1}^{(0)}} + \frac{1}{2}(\kappa_{2n}^{(0)})^{-2} i, \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$\langle z^{-n}, \Pi_n\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = (b_n^{(0)})^2(\beta_n^{(0)} - i) \tag{2.18}$$

follow from (2.9), (2.12) and the fact $||\Phi_n(d\mu)||_{\mathbb{R}}^2 = ||\Phi_n^*(d\mu)||_{\mathbb{R}}^2 = \big[\kappa_n(d\mu)\big]^{-2}$ as well as $(\kappa_{2n-1}^{(0)})^2(\kappa_{2n}^{(0)})^2 = \frac{1}{4}(a_n^{(0)})^{-2}(b_n^{(0)})^{-2}$. By (2.4),

$$\overline{\alpha_{2n-1}^{(0)}} - 1 = -\frac{1}{2}(\kappa_{2n}^{(0)})^{-2}(a_n^{(0)})^{-2}(1 - \beta_n^{(0)} i) \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$\overline{\alpha_{2n-1}^{(0)}} + 1 = \frac{1}{2}(\kappa_{2n}^{(0)})^{-2}\Big[(a_n^{(0)})^{-2}(\beta_n^{(0)})^2 + (b_n^{(0)})^{-2}\Big] + \frac{1}{2}(\kappa_{2n}^{(0)})^{-2}(a_n^{(0)})^{-2}\beta_n^{(0)} i. \tag{2.20}$$

So

$$\langle \frac{z^n + z^{-n}}{2}, \Sigma_n\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = (a_n^{(0)})^2, \quad \langle \frac{z^n - z^{-n}}{2i}, \Pi_n\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = (b_n^{(0)})^2 \tag{2.21}$$

and

$$\langle \frac{z^n - z^{-n}}{2i}, \Sigma_n\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = 0 \tag{2.22}$$

as well as

$$\langle \frac{z^n + z^{-n}}{2}, \Pi_n\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = (b_n^{(0)})^2\beta_n^{(0)}. \tag{2.23}$$

In addition, by straight calculations, it is easy to check that the coefficients of z^n and z^{-n} in $\Pi_n(z)$ are respectively $\frac{1}{2i}$ and $-\frac{1}{2i}$ whereas both of ones in $\Sigma_n(z) - \beta_n^{(0)}\Pi_n(z)$ are $\frac{1}{2}$. Note that (2.14) and (2.22), this fact means that $\Sigma_n(z)$ and $\Pi_n(z)$ are just the first"monic" orthogonal Laurent polynomials on the unit circle with respect to $d\mu$, i.e.,

$$\Sigma_n(z) = a_n(d\mu)\sigma_n(d\mu, z) \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_n(z) = b_n(d\mu)\pi_n(d\mu, z). \tag{2.24}$$

Since

$$\langle a_n(d\mu)\sigma_n(d\mu), a_n(d\mu)\sigma_n(d\mu)\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = a_n^2(d\mu), \tag{2.25}$$

$$\langle b_n(d\mu)\pi_n(d\mu), b_n(d\mu)\pi_n(d\mu)\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = b_n^2(d\mu) \tag{2.26}$$

and

$$\langle \frac{z^n + z^{-n}}{2}, b_n(d\mu)\pi_n(d\mu)\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = b_n^2(d\mu)\beta_n(d\mu), \tag{2.27}$$

therefore, by (2.21) and (2.23),

$$a_n(d\mu) = a_n^{(0)},\ \ b_n(d\mu) = b_n^{(0)},\ \ \beta_n(d\mu) = \beta_n^{(0)}. \tag{2.28}$$

□

Remark 2.2. Only for the sequence of three-tuples $(a_n^{(0)}, b_n^{(0)}, \beta_n^{(0)})$ fulfilling (2.1), to get (2.28), the measure $d\mu$ is not unique since the sequence can definitely determine Verblunsky coefficients with odd subscript but ones with even subscript from the above proof.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$\imath_n(d\mu) = \langle \frac{z^n + z^{-n}}{2}, (a_{n-1}^{(0)})^{-1}\sigma_{n-1}(d\mu)\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}, \tag{2.29}$$

$$\jmath_n(d\mu) = \langle \frac{z^n + z^{-n}}{2}, (b_{n-1}^{(0)})^{-1}\pi_{n-1}(d\mu)\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}, \tag{2.30}$$

$$\varsigma_n(d\mu) = \langle \frac{z^n - z^{-n}}{2i}, (a_{n-1}^{(0)})^{-1}\sigma_{n-1}(d\mu)\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}, \tag{2.31}$$

and

$$\zeta_n(d\mu) = \langle \frac{z^n - z^{-n}}{2i}, (b_{n-1}^{(0)})^{-1}\pi_{n-1}(d\mu)\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}. \tag{2.32}$$

Then the measure $d\mu$ is unique for the sequence of seven-tuples

$$(a_n^{(0)}, b_n^{(0)}, \beta_n^{(0)}, \imath_n(d\mu), \jmath_n(d\mu), \varsigma_n(d\mu), \zeta_n(d\mu)) \tag{2.33}$$

satisfying (2.1) by Theorem 1.4 and Verblunsky theorem. Since $d\mu$ is dependent on $(a_n^{(0)}, b_n^{(0)}, \beta_n^{(0)})$ and $\imath_n(d\mu), \jmath_n(d\mu), \varsigma_n(d\mu), \zeta_n(d\mu)$ are dependent on $d\mu$, $a_n^{(0)}$ and $b_n^{(0)}$, then the sequence of seven-tuples (2.33) satisfying (2.1) is dependent on the sequence of three-tuples $(a_n^{(0)}, b_n^{(0)}, \beta_n^{(0)})$ fulfilling (2.1). Considering the uniqueness of $d\mu$ for the sequence of (2.33) with (2.1), we call that $d\mu$ is selectively unique for the sequence $\{(a_n^{(0)}, b_n^{(0)}, \beta_n^{(0)})\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying (2.1) and $a_n^{(0)}, b_n^{(0)} > 0$ as well as $a_0^{(0)}, b_0^{(0)} = 1$ and $\beta_0^{(0)} = 0$.

2.2. **Baxter Theorem.**

Let

$$c_n = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \overline{\tau}^n d\mu(\tau),\ \ n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \tag{2.34}$$

be moments of μ, Baxter theorem for OPUC states that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| < 0$ if and only if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n| < 0$ and $d\mu(\tau) = w(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{2\pi i\tau}$ with $w(\tau)$ continuous and $\min_{\tau\in\partial\mathbb{D}} w(\tau) > 0$.

For orthogonal trigonometric polynomials, we have

Theorem 2.3 (Baxter theorem for OTP). *Let μ be a nontrivial probability measure on $\partial\mathbb{D}$, a_n, b_n, β_n be the associated coefficients given in (1.11)-(1.13) and c_n be the moments of μ defined by (2.34), then*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{4}[a_n^{-2}(1+\beta_n^2) + b_n^{-2}][a_{n+1}^2 + b_{n+1}^2(1+\beta_{n+1}^2)]}$$

$$+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{a_n^4 + b_n^4(1+\beta_n^2)^2 + 2a_n^2 b_n^2(\beta_n^2 - 1)}{a_n^4 + b_n^4(1+\beta_n^2)^2 + 2a_n^2 b_n^2(\beta_n^2 + 1)}} < \infty \tag{2.35}$$

is equivalent to $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n| < 0$ and $d\mu(\tau) = w(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{2\pi i\tau}$ with $w(\tau)$ continuous and $\min_{\tau\in\partial\mathbb{D}} w(\tau) > 0$.

Proof. It immediately follows from Theorem 1.3, 1.4 and 1.7 as well as the Baxter theorem for OPUC. □

2.3. **Geronimus Theorem.**

To discuss Geronimus theorem, it is necessary to introduce some basic notions of Schur algorithm (see [4]).

An analytic function F on $\mathbb{D}$ is called a Carathéodory function if and only if $F(0) = 1$ and $\Re F(z) > 0$ on $\mathbb{D}$. An analytic function f on $\mathbb{D}$ is called a Schur function if and only if $\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |f(z)| < 1$. Let

$$F(z) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\tau + z}{\tau - z} d\mu(\tau) \tag{2.36}$$

be an associated Carathéodory function of μ, then

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z}\frac{F(z) - 1}{F(z) + 1} \tag{2.37}$$

is a Schur function related to μ.

Starting with a Schur function f_0, Schur algorithm actually provides an approach to continuously map one Schur function to another by a series of transforms of the form

$$\begin{cases} f_{n+1}(z) = \dfrac{1}{z}\dfrac{f_n(z) - \gamma_n}{1 - \overline{\gamma}_n f_n(z)}, \\ \gamma_n = f_n(0). \end{cases} \tag{2.38}$$

f_n are called Schur iterates and γ_n are called Schur parameters associated to f_0. Due to Schur, it is well known that there is a one to one correspondence between the set of Schur functions which are not finite Blaschke products and the set of sequences of $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{D}$. Geronimus theorem for OPUC asserts that if μ is a nontrivial probability measure on $\partial\mathbb{D}$, the Schur parameters $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ associated to f_0 related to μ by (2.36) and (2.37) are identical to the Verblunsky coefficients $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

For orthogonal trigonometric polynomials, we have

Theorem 2.4 (Geronimus theorem for OTP)**.** *Let μ be a nontrivial probability measure on $\partial\mathbb{D}$, if γ_n are Schur parameters and a_n, b_n, β_n, $\imath_n$, $\jmath_n$, ς_n, ζ_n are coefficients associated to μ defined by (1.11)-(1.15), then*

$$\gamma_{2n-1} = \frac{a_n^2 - b_n^2(1 - \beta_n^2)}{a_n^2 + b_n^2(1 + \beta_n^2)} - \frac{2b_n^2\beta_n}{a_n^2 + b_n^2(1 + \beta_n^2)} i \tag{2.39}$$

and

$$\gamma_{2n-2} = \frac{1}{2}(\imath_n - \zeta_n + \beta_{n-1}\varsigma_n) - \frac{1}{2}(\jmath_n + \varsigma_n - \beta_{n-1}\imath_n) i \tag{2.40}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 1.3 and 1.4 as well as Geronimus theorem for OPUC. □

2.4. **Rakhmanov Theorem and Szegö Theorem.**

Let $d\mu$ have the decomposition form (1.1), $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the Verblunsky coefficients of μ, Rakhmanov theorem for OPUC states that if $w(\tau) > 0$ for a.e. $\tau \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} |\alpha_n| = 0$. From this theorem, the OTP version of Rakhmanov theorem is the following

Theorem 2.5 (Rakhmanov theorem for OTP)**.** *Let μ be a nontrivial probability measure on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ with the decomposition form (1.1), a_n, b_n, β_n be the associated coefficients of μ given in (1.11)-(1.13). If $w(\tau) > 0$ for a.e. $\tau \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{a_n^4 + b_n^4(1+\beta_n^2)^2 + 2a_n^2 b_n^2(\beta_n^2 - 1)}{a_n^4 + b_n^4(1+\beta_n^2)^2 + 2a_n^2 b_n^2(\beta_n^2 + 1)} = 0 \tag{2.41}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{4}[a_n^{-2}(1+\beta_n^2) + b_n^{-2}][a_{n+1}^2 + b_{n+1}^2(1+\beta_{n+1}^2)] = 1. \tag{2.42}$$

Proof. Immediate from Theorem 1.3, 1.4, 1.7 and Rakhmanov theorem for OPUC. □

Szegö theorem for OPUC shows that

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}(1-|\alpha_n|^2) = \exp\Big(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \log w(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{\tau}\Big). \tag{2.43}$$

Especially,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|\alpha_n|^2 < \infty \Longleftrightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \log w(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{\tau} > -\infty. \tag{2.44}$$

Its analog for OTP is

Theorem 2.6 (Szegö theorem for OTP)**.** *Let μ be a nontrivial probability measure on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ with the decomposition form (1.1), a_n, b_n, β_n be the associated coefficients of μ given in (1.11)-(1.13). Then*

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{a_{n+1}^2 + b_{n+1}^2(1+\beta_{n+1}^2)}{a_n^2 + b_n^2(1+\beta_n^2)} = \exp\Big(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \log w(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{\tau}\Big). \tag{2.45}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big\{1 - \frac{1}{4}[a_n^{-2}(1+\beta_n^2) + b_n^{-2}][a_{n+1}^2 + b_{n+1}^2(1+\beta_{n+1}^2)]\Big\}\\ &+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{a_n^4 + b_n^4(1+\beta_n^2)^2 + 2a_n^2 b_n^2(\beta_n^2 - 1)}{a_n^4 + b_n^4(1+\beta_n^2)^2 + 2a_n^2 b_n^2(\beta_n^2 + 1)} < \infty\end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

is equivalent to $\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \log w(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{\tau} > -\infty$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.3, 1.4, 1.7 and Szegö theorem for OPUC. □

2.5. **The Strong Szegö Theorem.** Let $d\mu$ have the decomposition form (1.1) satisfying the Szegö condition

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \log w(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{\tau} > -\infty, \tag{2.47}$$

it is accustomed to introduce the Szegö function as follows

$$D(z) = \exp\Big(\frac{1}{4\pi i}\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\tau+z}{\tau-z}\log w(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{\tau}\Big). \tag{2.48}$$

It is easy to get that $D(z)$ is analytic and nonvanishing in $\mathbb{D}$, lies in the hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ and $\lim_{r\uparrow 1} D(r\tau) = D(\tau)$ for a.e. $\tau \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ as well as $|D(\tau)|^2 = w(\tau)$. Let

$$D(z) = \exp\Big(\frac{1}{2}\hat{L}_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{L}_n z^n\Big), \;\; z \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{2.49}$$

Due to Ibragimov, the sharpest form of the strong Szegö theorem for OPUC (see [4]) says that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n|\alpha_n|^2 < \infty \Longleftrightarrow d\mu_s = 0 \;\text{ and }\; \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n|\hat{L}_n|^2 < \infty. \tag{2.50}$$

The corresponding result for OTP can be stated as follows

Theorem 2.7 (The strong Szegö theorem for OTP)**.** *Let μ be a nontrivial probability measure on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ with the decomposition form (1.1) satisfying the Szegö cindition (2.47), a_n, b_n, β_n be the associated coefficients of μ given in (1.11)-(1.13), and $\{\hat{L}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the Taylor coefficients of the Szegö function $D(z)$ at $z = 0$ which are defined by (2.48) and (2.49). Then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2n \left\{1 - \frac{1}{4}[a_n^{-2}(1+\beta_n^2) + b_n^{-2}][a_{n+1}^2 + b_{n+1}^2(1+\beta_{n+1}^2)]\right\}\\ &+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(2n-1)\left\{\frac{a_n^4 + b_n^4(1+\beta_n^2)^2 + 2a_n^2 b_n^2(\beta_n^2 - 1)}{a_n^4 + b_n^4(1+\beta_n^2)^2 + 2a_n^2 b_n^2(\beta_n^2 + 1)}\right\} < \infty\end{aligned} \tag{2.51}$$

is equivalent to $d\mu_s = 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n|\hat{L}_n|^2 < \infty$.

Proof. From Theorem 1.3, 1.4, 1.7 and the strong Szegö theorem for OPUC of the form (2.50). □

In the above, by the mutual representation theorem for OTP and OPUC, we give some analogous theorems for orthogonal trigonometric polynomials corresponding to ones for orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. In fact, by the mutual representation theorem, we can obtain more corresponding results for orthogonal trigonometric polynomials. For example, the important and useful Bernstein-Szegö measure can be expressed in terms of orthogonal trigonometric polynomials as follows

$$d\mu_n = \begin{cases} \dfrac{a_m^2 + b_m^2(1+\beta_m^2)}{|a_m\sigma_m(\theta) + (\beta_m + i)b_m\pi_m(\theta)|^2}\dfrac{d\theta}{2\pi}, & n = 2m-1,\\[2ex] \dfrac{a_m^2 b_m^2}{a_m^2 + b_m^2(1+\beta_m^2)}\dfrac{1}{|a_m^{-1}(\beta_m - i)\sigma_m(\theta) - b_m^{-1}\pi_m(\theta)|^2}\dfrac{d\theta}{2\pi}, & n = 2m.\end{cases}$$

REFERENCES

1. J. Du and Z. Du, *Orthogonal trigonometric polynomials: Riemann-Hilbert analysis and Relations with OPUC*, Preprint FU Berlin, 2008. (arXiv:0805.2640)
2. T. Erdélyi, P. Nevai, J. Zhang and J. Geronimo, *A simple proof of "Favard's theorem" on the unit circle*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena **39**(1991), 551-556.
3. F. Marcellán and R. Álvarez-Nodarse, *On the "Favard theorem" and its extensions*, J. Comp. Appl. Math. **127**(2001), 231-254.
4. B. Simon, *Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle, Part 1: Classical Theory*, AMS Colloquium Series, Vol. 54, American Mathematical Society, Providence RI, 2005.
5. B. Simon, *Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle, Part 2: Spectral Theory*, AMS Colloquium Series, Vol. 54, American Mathematical Society, Providence RI, 2005.

6. G. Szegö, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 23, American Mathematical Society, Providence R.I., 1939; 4rd edition, 1975.

Department of Mathematics, Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632, China
E-mail address: `tzhdu@jnu.edu.cn`